

Contents

- [1 Sortable Table](#)
- [2 Best range using built-in antenna](#)
- [3 Supported Hardware Table](#)
- [4 Readability of Hardware Table](#)
- [5 Note on devices with 2MB Flash like WRTG\(S\) \$\geq\$ v5](#)
- [6 Glossary](#)
- [7 Bold warning about do not attempt flash](#)
- [8 WRT150N v1.0 only](#)
- [9 All the Froogle links are broken](#)

Sortable Table

I propose converting the series of Supported Devices tables into a single sortable device table. I have already started on the work, but it has taken a little longer than I thought, in part due to experimentation to get it to work right, and in part due to the inconsistency of format between each of the separate sub-tables.

You can see an example of what the page with a sortable table would look like here:

[Talk:Supported_Devices/sortable](#)

The major advantage of this is so that as a web site visitor looking to choose a platform on which to run dd-wrt, I can sort by important features to compare. For example, if I want to run the MEGA build, I can sort on the amount of flash memory so that I can look at platforms that have enough to run the Mega build.

Another advantage is that by putting all of the tables into a single table, the columns are aligned for each product, which makes it very easy to scan the table and make comparisons.

Another advantage is that being a single table, as a user I can copy this table and paste it into Excel to do further analysis. This is very difficult to do with sub-tables.

The disadvantages are:

- a single table is a little harder to maintain than separate tables with their own editing subsections
- The table of contents relies on section headers to build a list of sections automatically. Since section headers cannot be used within a table, they cannot be generated automatically. However, it is still possible to provide an index of manufacturers with links that jump automatically to the section within the table. See the working example at [Talk:Supported_Devices/sortable](#)

What do you think? If there are major objections, let me know ASAP so that I do not waste more time building the document. On the other hand, if you like it, let me know ASAP so that I **do** spend more time finishing the document.

[Bfinlay](#) 22:27, 23 November 2009 (CET)

Remember that you can use the ':' to indent a reply (or multiple '::::' for multiple levels of indent) and

the '~~~~' to sign it.Bfinlay 22:30, 23 November 2009 (CET)

Best range using built-in antenna

Does anyone know if the WHR-HP-AG108 would achieve better ranges than the WHR-HP-G54?

This page has some numbers for the WHR-HP-AG108:

<http://www.buffalo-technology.com/products/product-detail.php?productid=167&categoryid=28>

Antenna Total Gain 2.4 GHz: 5.5 dBi (max); 5 GHz: 7.5 dBi (max.)

I couldn't find anything on the WHR-HP-G54. Hal 15:09, 16 Feb 2007 (CET)

I would say that the WHR-HP-G54, would almost have to have a smaller range then the AG108 because the high-gain ant. you can purchase for the G54s is only 4.4DBi (Max) for 2.4 Ghz. Seeing as the High Gain replaces the original, the original must be weaker. --Cbruscato 06:59, 11 Mar 2007 (CET)

Supported Hardware Table

!!!!!! BELKIN F5D7230-4 !!!! Router says version 1223df on the back, dd-wrt recognises as v1000 (so it is in fact a v1000?). Unit is sold in Europe. Should this be added to the SHT? also, users should not flash OpenWRT on their belkin f5d7230-4 after flashing dd-wrt on it, OpenWRT does something that resets all your MAC addresses to Siemens SE505 ones, unable to restore original settings, in the end I got a bricked router because I did "mtd -r erase pmon". "mtd -r erase rootfs" is write protected, erasing nvram, jffs and linux does nothing bad, the router just reboots clean.

I made this table in a spreadsheet program and converted it to wiki markup with this:

<http://en.wikipedia.orghttp://wiki.dd-wrt.com/wiki/User:Cacycle/wikEd>

If you want to make big changes to the table I suggest you do that to to save yourself some time. :)

The table is kind of huge now, and there seems to be quite allot of info that is not really needed (eg does anyone need to know what the CPU model is?) Also many of the routers seem to be no longer in production, or very hard to find...

Perhaps it would be best to have 2 tables, one for currently available hardware for people looking to buy a router to put DD-WRT on, and another for every router possible.

Hal 23:56, 19 Feb 2007 (CET)

Readability of Hardware Table

I'd really like to split this into two tables, one for currently available hardware, one for discontinued hardware. Does anybody object/support that option? The table is simply far too cluttered to find useful info right now.

I'll start collecting data for this this afternoon.

--[gleblanc](#) August 26, 2008

Note on devices with 2MB Flash like WRTG(S) >= v5

Poor grammar in this section cleaned up. Also, Linux vs Windows nonsense (essentially stating that Windows users would not be able to handle using certain units) rephrased to inform without insulting.

Glossary

What is meant by "PoE" in the table?

- [Recoil](#) 07:49, 15 Jul 2007 (CEST)

This means **P**ower **o**ver **e**thernet, see:

<http://en.wikipedia.org>[http://wiki.dd-wrt.com/wiki/Power over Ethernet](http://wiki.dd-wrt.com/wiki/Power_over_Ethernet) --[Spontex](#) 19:46, 9 August 2007 (CEST)

Bold warning about do not attempt flash

Perhaps we should have a big bold table with routers not to attempt to flash (eg 300N v2 or v1.1) etc

There is a table with known incompatible devices

<http://www.dd-wrt.com>[http://wiki.dd-wrt.com/wiki/index.php/Known incompatible devices](http://wiki.dd-wrt.com/wiki/index.php/Known_incompatible_devices) you're welcome to add new devices --[Spontex](#) 19:48, 9 August 2007 (CEST)

WRT150N v1.0 only

The box-based-routers table should have "v1.0 only" in it for WRT150N, the way WRT300N and WRT350N has it. I tried to edit the table, but the edit caught in the spam filter. Someone please edit and remove this comment. --[Tomten](#) 20:06, 29 July 2007 (CEST)

All the Froogle links are broken

The format is like this nowadays:

<http://www.google.com/products?q=asus.wl-500g+&btnG=Search+Products> --[Tomten](#) 22:19, 30 July 2007 (CEST)